



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/796,612

03/08/2004

Frank Inchingolo

07470-072001

1763

26161 7590 07/01/2008

FISH & RICHARDSON PC
P.O. BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

WAI, ERIC CHARLES

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2195

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/01/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/796,612	Applicant(s) INCHINGOLO ET AL.	
	Examiner ERIC C. WAI	Art Unit 2195	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ERIC C. WAI. (3)_____.

(2) Elliott J. Mason, III (Reg no. 56,569). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2008.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant wanted to discuss 101 rejections regarding claim 8. Examiner indicated that the claim would fulfill the requirements of 101 if the claim conformed to the IEEE definition of a datastructure. Discussions also occurred surrounding the 112 and 103 rejections of claim 1. Examiner made recommendations to the claim language to overcome the 112 rejections. However no agreement was reached as to the allowability of the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required